

REMARKS

By this submission accompanying with a request for continued examination, claim 1 has been amended. Accordingly, claims 1-8 and 10-15 are pending in this application and are respectfully submitted for a timely examination.

Rejection of Claims 1-8 and 10-15 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 12-13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kobayashi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,628,067). In addition, claims 1 and 5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Burroughes (U.S. Patent No. 6,693,611). Claims 1 and 10-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,072,450), and claims 1 and 14-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Matthies (U.S. Patent No. 6,498,592). To the extent that the rejections still apply, the Applicants respectfully traverse.

Claim 1 as amended, recites an organic electroluminescent display device comprising, among other features, a plurality of pixels, each pixel of the plurality of pixels is formed by two light-emitting elements producing only two different colors of predetermined chromaticity values, and at least one of a pair of electrodes comprises a plurality of independent array patterns to the light-emitting elements.

It is respectfully submitted that the prior art fails to disclose or suggest at least the above-mentioned features of the Applicants' invention.

The Applicants submit that Kobayashi discloses a white backlight that is provided by mixing a plurality of luminous lights with balanced white light, while minimizing power consumption and reducing manufacturing cost.

Furthermore, Burroughes provides a display device having an array of light-emissive pixels, each pixel comprising red, green and blue light emitters and at least one further light emitter for emitting a color to which the human eye is more sensitive than the emission color of at least one of the red and blue emitters.

Yamada teaches selection transistors and drive transistors formed in individual pixel areas on a substrate.

Moreover, Matthies discloses a tiled display structure that is fabricated on a single substrate that also serves as a circuit board containing electronic components.

Applicants submit that each of the cited prior art fails to disclose or suggest each and every element recited in claim 1 of the present application. In particular, it is submitted neither Kobayashi, Burroughes, Yamadas nor Matthies disclose or suggest at least “a plurality of pixels, each pixel of the plurality of pixels is formed by two light-emitting elements producing only two different colors of predetermined chromaticity values, and at least one of a pair of electrodes comprises a plurality of independent array patterns to the light-emitting elements.” Therefore, the Applicants submit that each of the cited prior art fails to disclose each and every element recited in claim 1 of the present application.

Moreover, to qualify as prior art under 35 U.S.C. §102, a single prior art reference must teach, i.e., identically describe, each feature of a rejected claim. As

explained above, neither Kobayashi, Burroughes, Yamadas nor Matthies disclose or suggest each and every feature of claim 1. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 is not anticipated by the disclosure of the cited prior art. Therefore, the Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 is allowable.

As claims 2-8 and 10-15 depend from claim 1, the Applicants submit that each of these claims incorporates the patentable aspects therein, and are therefore allowable for at least the reasons set forth above with respect to the independent claim, as well as for the additional subject matter recited therein.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

Conclusion

In view of the above, the Applicants respectfully request the allowance of claims 1-8 and 10-15 and the prompt issuance of a Notice of Allowability.

Should the Examiner believe anything further is desirable in order to place this application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

In the event this paper is not considered to be timely filed, the Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Any fees for such an extension, together with any additional fees that may be due with respect to this paper,

may be charged to counsel's Deposit Account No. 01-2300, referencing Attorney Docket No. 107156-00193.

Respectfully submitted,



Sam Huang
Registration No. 48,430

Customer No. 004372
AREN'T FOX PLLC
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.,
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036-5339
Tel: (202) 857-6000
Fax: (202) 638-4810

SH/lbm

Enclosure: Request for Continued Examination